## FreeST and Polymorphic Higherorder Session Types

Bernardo Almeida, Diana Costa, Andreia Mordido, Diogo Poças, **Vasco T. Vasconcelos** University of Lisbon

University of Nagoya, 30 November 2023

#### FreeST is ....

#### A programming language

- Functional
- Concurrent ullet
- Call-by-value
- Message-passing on bidirectional, heterogeneous channels
- Buffered channels (asynchronous message passing)
- Linear and shared (unrestricted) channels •
- Channel behaviour (protocol) described by types
- Types: Polymorphic (unpredicative), recursive, higher-order context-free session types

#### **FreeST in numbers**

- First git commit: 20/11/2017
- 12 git contributors
- 3416 commits into the dev branch alone
- 4392 LOC (Haskell, Happy, Alex, FreeST)
- 977 manual tests (9749 FreeST LOC)
- 150135 quick check tests (type equivalence)
- Support for Visual Studio Code, Atom, Emacs
- Runs on Linux, MacOS, Windows
- 1 PhD thesis (ongoing)
- 4 + 4 MSc thesis (completed + ongoing)

e) CS

### https://freest-lang.github.io/











 $\leftarrow \rightarrow$ 

I

≡ foo.fst 1 ×

main : ()

main = 5

≡ foo.fst

1

2





#### $\leftarrow \ \rightarrow$ ≣ foo.fst × ≣ foo.fst main : () 1 main = () 2 Ι





(Demo time)

# **FreeST in Action**





The main challenge is type equivalence in the presence of semicolon

### Type equivalence

- Determined by a bisimulation game between two types, T and U
  - T must simulate U
  - U must simulate T
- Or else by a deductive coinductive system of rules (not shown)

### Some laws for sequential composition

(T; U); V = T; (U; V)AssociativityT; Skip = Skip; T = TSkip is neutral elementClose; T = CloseClose is left absorbing (same for Wait)+{a: T, b: U}; V = +{a: T; V, b: U; V}Right distributivity(rec x. T; x); U = rec x. T; xUnnormed types are left absorbing



#### **Running a bisimulation on two types**



#### Simplified for first order sessions



#### Another example of bisimulation



#### Why not a standard fixed point construction?

#### Let T = rec x. !Int ; x



T and U are equivalent The bisimulation is {(T, U<sup>n</sup>) | n ≥ 1} Let U = rec y. !Int ; y ; y

U unfolds to !Int; U ; U ↓!Int U ; U ↓!Int U ; U ; U



### How do we decide type equivalence?

- Transform types into **simple grammars** 
  - Productions of the form  $X \rightarrow a Y_1...Y_n$ ,  $n \ge 0$
  - No ε transitions
- No two productions  $X \rightarrow a Y_{1}...Y_{n}$  and  $X \rightarrow a Z_{1}...Z_{m}$  (deterministic) We have developed an algorithm to decide the bisimilarity of two words in
- a grammar
- It is incorporated in the Freest compiler

#### The grammar associated to a type

- Type: +{a: !Int, b:?Bool} ; !Char
- Start word: X<sub>1</sub>
- Productions:
  - $X_1 \rightarrow a X_2 X_4$   $X_1 \rightarrow b X_3 X_4$
  - $X_2 \rightarrow !Int$
  - $X_3 \rightarrow$ **?Bool**
  - $X_4 \rightarrow$  !Char

A word in **bold** represents **one** terminal symbol



#### Which types can be translated to simple grammars?

- Predicative polymorphic + first-order session types (ICFP 2016)
- Impredicative polymorphic (System  $F^{\mu}$ ), still first order sessions (I&C 2022)
- System  $F^{\mu}$  + higher-order session types (PLACES 2022, TCS soon)
- This is Freest V3.0
- Type operators (System  $F^{\mu}_{\omega}$ ) with \*-kinded recursion only, i.e., no recursion over type operators (ESOP 2023)
- (Are we reaching the limit?)

All systems include recursive types

First-order: channels carry base types only Higher order: channels may carry channels



#### Where are the limits? $\rightarrow F^{\mu}_{\omega}$ As in TAPL $\rightarrow F^{\mu_*}_{\omega} -$ **Regular ST** $F^{\mu \cdot}_{\omega}$ **Context-free ST** $\longrightarrow F^{\mu_*}_{\omega};$ $F^{\mu}_{\omega};$ $\geq$ deterministic pushdown automata







# System F<sup>μ</sup><sub>ω</sub> with Contextfree Session Types

### **Higher-order polymorphism in FreeST**

- First-order
  - $\mathsf{IntStream} = \mu \alpha \colon \mathbb{S} . \& \{\mathsf{Done} \colon \mathsf{End}, \mathsf{More} \colon ?\mathsf{Int}; \alpha\}$
- Higher-order Stream =  $\lambda \alpha$ : T.( $\mu \beta$ : S. &{Done: End, More:  $?\alpha; \beta$ )}
- Is IntStream equivalent to Stream Int?
- We need beta-reduction at the type level
  - $(\lambda \alpha \colon \kappa . T) \ U \longrightarrow_{\beta} T[\alpha \mapsto U]$

### With type operators duality can be internalised

• We have seen the unmarshall function with the dualof macro

We can now marshall and unmarshall trees of arbitrary types

And we can have Dual as a type operator

• The Dual operator is of kind  $S \rightarrow S$  (from session types to session types)

- unmarshall : dualof TreeC ; a -> (Tree, a)
- unmarshall : dualof (TreeC b) ; a -> (Tree b, a)

unmarshall : Dual (TreeC b) ; a -> (Tree b, a)



### System F<sup>w<sup>µ</sup></sup> with Context-free Session Types

Base kind \* ::=

S

Т

\*

l

 $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ 

Only 4 types

 $\kappa \Rightarrow \kappa$ 

 $\kappa$  ::=

T ::=

- Session
  - Functional
    - Kind
    - kind of types
    - kind of type constructors
      - Type or type constructor
    - type constant
    - type variable
- $\lambda \alpha : \kappa . T$  type-level abstraction T T
  - type-level application

Fig. 3: The syntax of types

<i>L</i> ::=	:		Type constant
	Skip	S	skip
	End	S	end
	#	$* \Rightarrow s$	input and output
	;	$s \Rightarrow s \Rightarrow s$	sequential composition
	$\odot_{\{\overline{l_i}\}}$	$\overline{S \Rightarrow}S$	external and internal choi
	$\rightarrow$	$* \Rightarrow * \Rightarrow T$	arrow
	$\forall_{\kappa}$	$(\kappa \Rightarrow *) \Rightarrow T$	universal type
	Unit	$\mathbf{T}$	unit
	$(\overline{l_i})$	$\overline{\ast \Rightarrow}$ T	record and variant
	$\mu_{\kappa}$	$(\kappa \Rightarrow \kappa) \Rightarrow \kappa$	recursive type
	Dual	$s \Rightarrow s$	dual type constructor

Fig. 4: Type constants and their kinds



#### The labelled-transition system for type equivalence $!T; U \xrightarrow{!_1} T \quad !T; U \xrightarrow{!_2} U$ • Some rules $\lambda \alpha : \kappa T \xrightarrow{\lambda \alpha : \kappa} T$ $T \longrightarrow_{\beta} U \quad U \xrightarrow{a} V$ $T \xrightarrow{a} V$ How do we check this goal

 $\lambda \alpha$ :  $\kappa . \alpha$  equivalent to  $\lambda \beta$ :  $\kappa . \beta$ 

if α and β, both bound variables, appear in the LTS as different labels?

• Remember that labels in the LST are terminal symbols in grammars



### **Solution: Minimal renaming**

- We take the set of type variables as ordered and
- Perform minimal renaming on all bound variables
- Example where  $v_1$  is the first non free variable in each subterm
  - rename $(\lambda \alpha : \mathbf{T} \cdot \lambda \beta : \mathbf{S} \cdot \beta) = \lambda \upsilon_1 : \mathbf{T} \cdot \lambda \upsilon_1 : \mathbf{S} \cdot \upsilon_1$
- We thus obtain types with variable names in canonical form and  $\lambda$  is not a binder anymore







### The current FreeST compiler

- The AST contains types in AST form
- Whenever we need to check type equivalence we
  - First check whether the two types are alpha-equivalent (linear)
  - If not:
    - Convert both types to a grammar
    - Run bisimulation on the the grammar
    - Discard the grammar (what a waste)

#### The next FreeST compiler

- At the elaboration stage (between parsing and type checking) we translate all types to (words of) non-terminal symbols in a single grammar
- Rather than the types themselves, the AST keeps words of non-terminal symbols representing types
- No need for to-grammar translation at type equivalence checking points
- Furthermore, extracting the main type operator in a type becomes a lot simpler. Here's an algorithmic typing rule in the current compiler  $\begin{array}{cc} \mathrm{TA-APP} \\ \Delta \mid \Gamma_1 \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow \Downarrow T \rightarrow_m U \mid \Gamma_2 & \Delta \mid \Gamma_2 \vdash e_2 : T \Rightarrow \Gamma_3 \end{array}$

 $\Delta \mid \Gamma_1 \vdash$ 

$$\begin{array}{ll} U \mid \Gamma_2 & \Delta \mid \Gamma_2 \vdash e_2 : T \Rightarrow \Gamma_3 \\ \hline e_1 \, e_2 \Rightarrow U \mid \Gamma_3 \end{array} \end{array}$$

#### Conclusion

- We had a lot of fun until now
- We plan to continue having fun for some time
- A lot remains to be done:
  - Implement higher-order polymorphism
  - Kind inference for type abstractions and recursive types (coming soon)

Local type inference for type applications

#### forkWith @(dualof TreeC ; Wait) @() (marshallTree aTree)

• Devise a faster algorithm for type equivalence (coming soon)





### https://freest-lang.github.io/

